EXHIBIT 19

Excerpts of July 19, 2018 Deposition of Mary Methvin as Experian's 30(b)(6) witness, Cardinali v. Experian, No. 16-cv-2046-JAD-NJK (D. Nev.), as filed in Leoni, ECF Dkt. 109-2 (D. Nev. Nov. 29, 2018)

EXHIBIT 31

Deposition Transcript of 30(B)(6) Witness Mary Ames Methvin Dated July 19, 2018

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 2
     LOUIS A. CARDINALI,
                            )
 3
               Plaintiff,
                             ) Case No. 2:16-cv-2046-JAD-NJK
 4
     v.
 5
     PLUSFOUR, INC.; RC
     WILLEY HOME
 6
     FURNISHINGS; WEBBANK/ )
     DELL FINANCIAL
 7
     SERVICES; EQUIFAX
     INFORMATION SERVICES,
     LLC; and EXPERIAN
 8
     INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, )
 9
     INC.,
               Defendant.
10
                 ORAL DEPOSITION OF EXPERIAN INFORMATION
11
                        SOLUTIONS, INC. BY AND THROUGH
12
                  ITS DESIGNATED 30(B)(6) REPRESENTATIVE
13
                         MARY AMES METHVIN
14
                           JULY 19, 2018
15
16
17
     (PORTIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL
     INFORMATION PURSUANT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER ISSUED IN
18
     THIS CASE AND ARE FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. PLEASE
     CONSULT COUNSEL PRIOR TO REVIEW.)
19
20
21
               ORAL DEPOSITION OF MARY AMES METHVIN, produced
     as a witness at the instance of the PLAINTIFF, and duly
22
     sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause
23
     on the 19th day of July, 2018, from 9:04 a.m. to 5:27
24
     p.m., before TINA TERRELL BURNEY, CSR in and for the
25
                                                      Page 1
```

Case 2:16-6W-02378-AAPC-Nutkit Documentil 20:32 Filed 1:2/124/128 Page 3 of 5

1 State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the	1 INDEX	
2 offices of Jones Day, 2727 North Harwood, Suite 500,	PAGE	
3 Dallas, Texas 75201, pursuant to the Federal Rules of	3 Appearances 3 4 WITNESS: MARY AMES METHVIN	
4 Civil Procedure.	5 Examination by Mr. Clark	
	6 Examination by Mr. Hall	
5	7 Further Examination by Mr. Clark 296	
6	8 Signature and Changes298	
7	9 Reporter's Certificate	
8	10	
9	11 12 EXHIBITS	
10	13 DESCRIPTION PAGE	
	14 Exhibit 1 Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Notice	
11	Bates 30B6 Depo 000001-000031 13	
12	15	
13	Exhibit 2 Disclosure Logs	
14	16	
15	Exhibit 3 Deposition of Ms. Methvin 8/10/16	
16	17 Bates 30B6 Depo 000874-000912 82 18 Exhibit 4 Letter from Mr. Cardinali to	
	Experian 10/6/15	
17	19 Bates 30B6 Depo 000032-000067 121	
18	20 Exhibit 5 EPC Sorter Manual	
19	Bates 30B6 Depo 000532-000590 136	
20	21	
21	Exhibit 6 First Amended Complaint	
22	22 Bates 30B6 Depo 000917-000938 157	
	23 Exhibit 7 Credit Profile From Experian Bates 30B6 Depo 000596-000616 195	
23	24	
24	Exhibit 8 Dispute: Trades	
25	25 Bates 30B6 Depo 000131-000320 211	
Page	2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES	1 EXHIBITS (cont'd)	
2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	2 DESCRIPTION PAGE	
3 Mr. Miles N. Clark	3 Exhibit 9 ACDV Procedures	
KNEPPER & CLARK LLC 4 10040 W. Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 170-109	Bates 30B6 Depo 000394-000531 229	
Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	4 F 1317/10 OCCAP D: 4 111/14	
5 710.825.6060 Fax 702.447.8048	Exhibit 10 e-OSCAR Disputes and Updates 5 Bates 30B6 Depo 000321-000387 239	
miles.clark@knepperclark.com	6 Exhibit 11 G, H, I and J Updates for	
6 Mr. Mohammed Omar Badwan	Mr. Cardinali	
7 SULAIMAN LAW GROUP, LTD.	7 Bates 30B6 Depo 000811-000812 248	
2500 S. Highland Avenue, Suite 200	8 Exhibit 12 Experian's Third Amended Objections	
8 Lombard, Illinois 60148	and Responses to Plaintiff's First	
630.575.8180 Fax 630.575.8181 9 mbadwan@sulaimanlaw.com	9 Set of Interrogatories Bates 30B6 Depo 000758-000763 250	
10 Mr. Matthew C. De Re (APPEARING BY TELEPHONE)	10	
ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C.	Exhibit 13 Experian's Amended Objections and	
11 77 West Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602	11 Responses to First Set of Requests	
12 312.440.0020 Fax 312.440.4180	for Admissions	
matt@attorneyzim.com	12 Bates 30B6 Depo 000764-000787 258 13 Exhibit 14 Experian's Answers to Second Set of	
13	Requests for Production	
14 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:		
	14 Bates 30B6 Depo 000788-000810 269	
15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource	
 15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide	
 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273	
 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17	
 15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18	
 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18 19	
 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18	
15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 17 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 18 19 20 21	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18 19 20	
15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 17 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 18 19 20 21 22 23	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 17 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
15 Mr. Christopher A. Hall JONES DAY 16 77 W. Wacker Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601 17 312.782.3939 Fax 312.782.8585 chall@jonesday.com 18 19 20 21 22 23	15 Exhibit 15 2015 Credit Reporting Resource Guide 16 Bates 30B6 Depo 000388-000393 273 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 5

Case 2:19-6N-02378-AAPB-NJKF DOGUMABH120327 Filed 12/124/128 Page 4 of 9

1 Q. And by the time that when Experian says	1 break?
2 under "Results," "We have completed the process of your	2 MR. HALL: Sure.
3 dispute," does that indicate that Mr. Cardinali's	3 (Recess.)
4 reinvestigation is complete aside from the transmission	4 Q. All right. Ms. Methvin, just to clarify,
5 of the results? 02:11PM	5 would it be is it Experian's policy in a circumstance 02:27PM
6 A. Yes, sir.	6 where it receives a dispute that accounts included in
7 Q. Let's look at the middle column.	7 bankruptcy where the account was open before the
8 A. Okay.	8 bankruptcy and Experian can verify that the account
9 Q. I want to direct you there. There are a few	9 was that the bankruptcy is on file, is it Experian's
10 dashes with some paragraphs set off there. I want to 02:11PM	10 policy not to send an ACDV to the data furnisher in that 02:28PM
11 direct your attention to the third dash which begins,	11 circumstance?
12 "Requests that we send these results." Do you see where	12 A. Well, it depends on what the consumer's
13 I'm looking?	13 dispute is. If the consumer says something to the
14 A. Yes, sir.	14 effect like, "This was included in my bankruptcy, and
15 Q. Now, as I read that, it says this is something 02:11PM	15 you have the open date wrong," or, "This was included in 02:28PM
16 that the consumer can request to have done, to have	16 my bankruptcy, and also it was never late."
17 their results sent to organizations that are	So if there are other elements of the
18 specifically designated?	18 trade that need to be verified, then we need to send an
19 A. Yes.	19 ACDV. But if the dispute was simply, "This was in my
Q. And as used in this sentence, what does these 02:11PM	20 bankruptcy," and we can update it to show it included in 02:28PM
21 results mean?	21 bankruptcy without notifying the data furnisher, we
22 A. It means the results that these items were	22 would.
23 the results of these specific accounts or these results	But like I said, it depends on what the
24 for this dispute.	24 consumer is saying.
Q. So by these results, do you mean Experian's 02:12PM	Q. And we would see an indication on Experian's 02:28PM
Page 186	Page 188
1 reinvestigation?	1 choice on whether to send an ACDV or a DRN on the DR
1 reinvestigation? 2 A. For this case, yes, sir.	1 choice on whether to send an ACDV or a DRN on the DR 2 log, right?
2 A. For this case, yes, sir.	2 log, right?
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF?	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by
 2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 	2 log, right?3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by4 looking in the DR log.
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM	 2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali?	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party?
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir.
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN?
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form.
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM 21 months, or 12 months for certain states.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM 21 for example, if the consumer gave us a copy of a
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM 21 months, or 12 months for certain states. 22 Q. For employment purposes, right?	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM 21 for example, if the consumer gave us a copy of a 22 canceled check and we used that to make the update, then
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM 21 months, or 12 months for certain states. 22 Q. For employment purposes or six	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM 21 for example, if the consumer gave us a copy of a 22 canceled check and we used that to make the update, then 23 we would attach the correspondence to the DRN.
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM 21 months, or 12 months for certain states. 22 Q. For employment purposes, right? 23 A. Two years for employment purposes or six 24 months for other purposes, yes, sir.	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM 21 for example, if the consumer gave us a copy of a 22 canceled check and we used that to make the update, then 23 we would attach the correspondence to the DRN. 24 Q. In this case, did Experian use Mr. Cardinali's
2 A. For this case, yes, sir. 3 Q. Would that be the entire CDF? 4 A. I believe it would be the same type of 5 information that was sent to the consumer. So if an 02:12PM 6 abbreviated CDF was sent to the consumer, then an 7 abbreviated CDF would be sent to whoever they designate. 8 Or if we send a full disclosure, it would be a full 9 disclosure. I'm pretty sure that's how that works. 10 Q. And this reinvestigation, is this a full 02:12PM 11 disclosure to Mr. Cardinali? 12 A. I believe that it is. Without looking I 13 can look at the DR log and tell you. Give me a second. 14 Q. I believe that is Exhibit 2. 15 A. Yes, it was. 02:13PM 16 Q. So the whole CDF and the dispute results would 17 be sent to the person who 18 A. That he would designate, as long as there's 19 someone who reviewed his credit report, I guess, in the 20 last two years for employment purposes or the last six 02:13PM 21 months, or 12 months for certain states. 22 Q. For employment purposes or six	2 log, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. You can see what the agent did by 4 looking in the DR log. 5 Q. We were talking before about the 02:29PM 6 reinvestigation document and the potential that that 7 document could be sent to people of Mr. Cardinali's 8 choosing, correct? 9 A. Well, anybody that people entities of his 10 choosing that had looked at his credit report, yes, sir. 02:29PM 11 Q. So this information on the CDF could be sent 12 to a third party? 13 A. If he asked us to, yes, sir. 14 Q. You can set that aside or actually I spoke 15 too soon. I'm sorry. 02:30PM 16 Should a consumer's correspondence be 17 attached to the DRN? 18 MR. HALL: Objection, form. 19 A. Well, if the information that's in the 20 correspondence is used to make the internal change. So, 02:30PM 21 for example, if the consumer gave us a copy of a 22 canceled check and we used that to make the update, then 23 we would attach the correspondence to the DRN.

Casse 2:16-6v-029738-AAPOS-Vulkir Dogumantil 20942 ifiled 112/124/128 iPage 5 of 5

```
1 the witness:
 1
        I declare under penalty of perjury
                                                                2
                                                                        I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
 2 under the laws that the foregoing is
                                                               3 30(f)(1) that the signature of the deponent:
   true and correct.
 3
                                                                4
                                                                         _XXX____ was requested by the deponent or a
 4
                                                                5 party before the completion of the deposition and is to
 5
        Executed on
                                                                6 be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the
 6
                                                                7 transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and
 7
                                                                8 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons
 8
                                                               9 therefor;
 9
                                                               10
                                                                                 was not requested by the deponent or a
10
                                                               11 party before the completion of the deposition.
11
                                                               12
                                                                        I further certify that I am neither attorney
12
          MARY AMES METHVIN
                                                               13 or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of
13
                                                               14 the parties or attorneys to the action in which this
                                                               15 deposition was taken. Further, I am not a relative or
14
                                                               16 employee of any attorney of record in this case, nor am
15
                                                               17 I financially interested in the outcome of the action.
16
                                                                        Subscribed and sworn to on this the 28th
17
                                                              19 day of July, 2018.
18
                                                              20
19
                                                              21
20
                                                              22
21
                                                              23
                                                                      Twa Tunge Burry
IINA IERKELL BURNEY, CSR No. 2908
22
23
                                                              24
                                                                       Certified Shorthand Reporter
24
                                                                       in and for the State of Texas
25
                                                              25
                                                                       Certification expires 12/31/18
                                                                                                                   Page 300
                                                    Page 298
 1
           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
   LOUIS A. CARDINALI, §
3
        Plaintiff, §
                § Case No. 2:16-cv-2046-JAD-NJK
 5 PLUSFOUR, INC.; RC §
   WILLEY HOME
 6 FURNISHINGS; WEBBANK/ §
   DELL FINANCIAL
 7 SERVICES; EQUIFAX
   INFORMATION SERVICES, §
 8 LLC; and EXPERIAN §
   INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, §
9 INC.,
        Defendant. §
10
11
            REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
12
13
                TO ORAL DEPOSITION OF
14
          EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.
15
         BY AND THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED 30(B)(6)
16
         REPRESENTATIVE MARY AMES METHVIN
17
              JULY 19, 2018
18
19
        I, Tina Terrell Burney, Certified Shorthand
21 Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify
22 to the following:
        That the witness, MARY AMES METHVIN, was duly
24 sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral
25 deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
                                                    Page 299
```